

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,181	02/25/2004	Harry Bennett	BEN-1203	2383	
7590 09/16/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Carl D. Crowell			CHIN, RANDALL E		
P.O. Box 923 Salem, OR 97	308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		•	1744		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/786,181	BENNETT, HARRY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randall Chin	1744
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of t rill apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 is/are withdrawn fro</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to frawing(s) be held in abeyon on is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in ty documents have bee (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 06242004.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-5, drawn to a masonry tuck point tool, classified in class 15, subclass 105.5.
  - II. Claim 6, drawn to a method of striking a mortar joint, classified in class425, subclass 458.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as not requiring rotating the selected tuck blade approximately 180 degrees away from remaining tuck blades.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Carl Crowell on September 14, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-5. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Page 2

Office action. Claim 6 is withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation in claim 5 that the remaining tuck blades are of <u>distinct</u> lengths is in direct contradiction to that recited back in claim 1 which recites that the tuck blades have <u>similar</u> blade lengths.

# **Drawings**

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Numeral **202** in Fig. 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing

Art Unit: 1744

figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blanchard '387.

Blanchard '387 discloses a masonry tuck point tool in Fig. 1 characterized as a "double pointer", comprising a selected tuck blade and at least one remaining tuck blade pivotally attached at a first end 14 (p. 2, lines 31-33), said selected tuck blade and each of said remaining tuck blades having a distinct blade width (p. 2, lines 38-40) and similar blade lengths, wherein said selected tuck blade is pivoted approximately 180 degrees away (Fig. 1) from said remaining tuck blades thereby allowing said remaining tuck blades to be used as a handle (p. 2, lines 36-38) at said first end while said selected tuck blade is utilized for striking a masonry joint with a second end opposite said first end of said remaining tuck blades.

It is suggested to change the recitation "said remaining tuck blades" to –said at least one remaining tuck blade—for consistency and clarity throughout the claims.

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blanchard '387.

As for the tuck blades being made from rigid spring steel, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to utilize this material in order to provide for durability as well as some flexibility to avoid breaking the tool.

As for the tuck blades being made from hard plastic, one skilled in the art would find it obvious to utilize this material in order to provide for durability and since such material is widely available on the market.

# Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

Page 6

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Cross and Marchbanks are relevant to various masonry tools.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Randall Chin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1270. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden, can be reached at (571) 272-1281. The number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

The central fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1744

R. Chin

Randall Chin Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

Page 7